arXiv:0912.2618v1 [math.AG] 14 Dec 2009

# LOWER BOUNDS FOR CLIFFORD INDICES IN RANK THREE

H. LANGE AND P. E. NEWSTEAD

Abstract. Clifford indices for semistable vector bundles on a smooth projective curve of genus at least 4 were defined in previous papers of the authors. In the present paper, we establish lower bounds for the Clifford indices for rank 3 bundles. As a consequence we show that, on smooth plane curves of degree at least 10, there exist non-generated bundles of rank 3 computing one of the Clifford indices.

## 1. Introduction

Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 4$ defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In [4], we generalised the classical Clifford index $\gamma_1$ of $C$ to semistable bundles in the following way. First we define, for any vector bundle $E$ of rank $n$ and degree $d$,

$$\gamma(E) := \frac{1}{n}\left(d - 2(h^0(E) - n)\right) = \mu(E) - 2\frac{h^0(E)}{n} + 2,$$

where $\mu(E) = \frac{d}{n}$. Then the Clifford indices $\gamma_n$ and $\gamma_n'$ are defined by

$$\gamma_n := \min_E \left\{ \gamma(E) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} E \text{ semistable of rank } n \\ h^0(E) \geq n+1,\ \mu(E) \leq g-1 \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\gamma_n' := \min_E \left\{ \gamma(E) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} E \text{ semistable of rank } n \\ h^0(E) \geq 2n,\ \mu(E) \leq g-1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

We say that a bundle $E$ *contributes* to $\gamma_n$ if it is semistable of rank $n$ with $\mu(E) \leq g-1$ and $h^0(E) \geq n+1$ and that $E$ *computes* $\gamma_n$ if in addition $\gamma(E) = \gamma_n$. Similar definitions are made for $\gamma_n'$.

In [4] we obtained a number of results for the Clifford indices, including a useful lower bound for $\gamma_2'$ and a formula for $\gamma_2$. In a second paper [5] we showed that, under certain conditions, any bundle computing $\gamma_n$ or $\gamma_n'$ is generated (a feature assumed in some earlier definitions of E. Ballico [1]). These conditions hold when $n = 2$ but could fail for $n \geq 3$;

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14H60; Secondary: 14F05, 32L10.

*Key words and phrases.* Semistable vector bundle, Clifford index, gonality.

Both authors are members of the research group VBAC (Vector Bundles on Algebraic Curves). The second author would like to thank the Department Mathematik der Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg for its hospitality.

however, for $n = 3$, we were able to show that bundles computing either index are generically generated.

Our main object in this paper is to obtain a useful lower bound for $\gamma_3'$; this is almost certainly not best possible, but it does enable us to find a lower bound for $\gamma_3$ and in some cases to compute $\gamma_3$. To state our results, we recall that the *gonality sequence* $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r, \dots$ of $C$ is defined by

$$d_r := \min\{d_L \mid L \text{ a line bundle on } C \text{ with } h^0(L) \geq r+1\}.$$

Our main theorem is

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose* $\gamma_1 \geq 3$. *Then*

$$\gamma_3' \geq \min\left\{\frac{d_9}{3} - 2, \frac{2\gamma_2' + 1}{3}\right\}.$$

(For $\gamma_1 \leq 2$, we know the precise values of all the Clifford indices (see Remark 4.2).)

As a consequence of this theorem, we obtain a lower bound for $\gamma_3$ when $\frac{d_2}{2} \geq \frac{d_3}{3}$ and precise values for $\gamma_3$ for general curves of genus $g \geq 7$ (Proposition 4.5), for plane curves of degree $\delta \geq 10$ (Proposition 4.6) and for curves of Clifford dimension at least 3 (see Proposition 4.9).

The proof of the theorem involves two different methods for obtaining a lower bound for $\gamma_3'$. The first method (in section 2) is similar to arguments in [4] and involves considering the dimension of $h^0(F)$ for suitably chosen subbundles $F$ of $E$; this works well for $d \leq 2g+3$. The second method (in section 3) involves taking a proper subbundle $F$ of maximal slope and estimating the Clifford indices of $F$ and $E/F$; this works best when $d \geq 2g + 4$. The combination of the methods gives the theorem.

Throughout the paper (except in Remark 4.2), $C$ will denote a smooth projective curve of genus $g$ and Clifford index $\gamma_1 \geq 3$ (hence also $g \geq 7$) defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. For a vector bundle $G$ on $C$, the rank and degree of $G$ will be denoted by $r_G$ and $d_G$ respectively. We shall make use of the following facts about the gonality sequence:

$$d_r < d_{r+1} \text{ for all } r; \quad d_r \geq \min\{\gamma_1 + 2r, g + r - 1\}$$

(see [4, Lemmas 4.2(a) and 4.6]). The following lemma plays an important role in section 2.

**Lemma of Paranjape-Ramanan.** *Let $E$ be a vector bundle on $C$ of rank $n \geq 2$ with $h^0(E) = n + s$ $(s \geq 1)$ such that $E$ possesses no proper subbundle $F$ with $h^0(F) > r_F$. Then $d_E \geq d_{ns}$.*
This is a restatement of [7, Lemma 3.9] (see [4, Lemma 4.8]).

## 2. Lower bound for $\gamma'_3$, first method

Let $E$ be a bundle of degree $d$ computing $\gamma'_3$. According to [5, Theorem 3.3], $E$ is generically generated. Suppose $h^0(E) = 3 + s$ for some $s \geq 3$.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If $E$ has no proper subbundle $F$ with $h^0(F) \geq r_F + 1$, then*

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{d_9}{3} - 2. \tag{2.1}$$

*Proof.* By the Lemma of Paranjape-Ramanan, $d \geq d_{3s} \geq d_9 + 3s - 9$. This gives

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{d_9}{3} + s - 3 - \frac{2s}{3} \geq \frac{d_9}{3} - 2.$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** *If $E$ has a line subbundle $F$ with $h^0(F) \geq 2$, then*

$$\gamma(E) \geq \min\left\{\frac{2\gamma_1 + 1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\left(4\gamma_1 + 2g + 2 - d\right)\right\}.$$

*Proof.* Write $h^0(F) = t + 1,\ t \geq 1$. Then $d_F \geq d_t$. So $d \geq 3d_t$ which gives

$$\gamma(E) \geq d_t - \frac{2s}{3} \geq \gamma_1$$

if $t \geq \frac{s}{3}$.

If $t < \frac{s}{3}$, then $h^0(E/F) = 2 + v$ with $v > \frac{2s}{3} \geq 2$. According to [4, Lemma 7.2] we have

$$d_{E/F} \geq \min_{1 \leq u \leq v-1}\left\{d_{2v}, d_v + \frac{d}{3}, d_u + d_{v-u}\right\}.$$

There are 3 possibilities:

(i) $d_{E/F} \geq d_{2v}$. This implies

$$d \geq d_t + d_{2v} \geq \gamma_1 + 2t + d_6 + 2v - 6 \geq \gamma_1 + 2s + d_6 - 6,$$

since $v \geq 3$ and $t + v \geq s$, and hence

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{d_6}{3} - 2 \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{\gamma_1 + 7}{3} - 2 = \frac{2\gamma_1 + 1}{3}.$$

(ii) $d_{E/F} \geq d_v + \frac{d}{3}$. So $d \geq d_t + d_v + \frac{d}{3}$, which is equivalent to $\frac{2d}{3} \geq d_t + d_v$. We have $d_t \geq \gamma_1 + 2t$, since $d_t \leq g - 1$.

If $d_v < g + v - 1$, then $d_v \geq \gamma_1 + 2v$ and hence

$$2\gamma(E) \geq 2\gamma_1 + 2t + 2v - \frac{4s}{3} \geq 2\gamma_1 + \frac{2s}{3} \geq 2\gamma_1 + 2.$$

If $d_v \geq g + v - 1$, then we have

$$\frac{2d}{3} \geq d_t + d_v \geq \gamma_1 + 2t + g + v - 1,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}2\gamma(E) &\geq \gamma_1 + t + s + g - 1 - \frac{4s}{3}\\ &= \gamma_1 + t + g - 1 - \frac{s}{3}\\ &\geq \gamma_1 + t + \frac{g-1}{2} \geq 2\gamma_1 + t \geq 2\gamma_1 + 1.\end{aligned}$$

(Here we use Clifford's Theorem for $E$ (see [2, Theorem 2.1]) which implies $s \leq \frac{d}{2} \leq \frac{3(g-1)}{2}$.) In both cases, $\gamma(E) > \gamma_1$ contradicting the fact that $E$ computes $\gamma'_3$.

(iii) $d_{E/F} \geq d_u + d_{v-u}$. So $d \geq d_t + d_u + d_{v-u}$.

If $d_u < g + u - 1$ and $d_{v-u} < g + v - u - 1$, then

$$d \geq 3\gamma_1 + 2t + 2u + 2(v-u) \geq 3\gamma_1 + 2s.$$

This gives $\gamma(E) \geq \gamma_1$.

If $d_u \geq g + u - 1$ and $d_{v-u} < g + v - u - 1$, then

$$d \geq 2\gamma_1 + 2t + g + u - 1 + 2(v-u) \geq 2\gamma_1 + s + t + v - u + g - 1$$

and hence, using $\gamma(E) = \frac{d-2s}{3}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(E) &\geq \frac{2\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}(t + v - u + g - 1) - \frac{s}{3}\\ &= \frac{2\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}(t + v - u + g - 1) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d}{3} - \gamma(E)\right).\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\gamma(E) \geq \frac{2\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}(t + v - u + g - 1) - \frac{d}{6}.$$

So

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{4\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{2g-2-d}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(t + v - u) \geq \frac{1}{3}(4\gamma_1 + 2g + 2 - d).$$

If $d_u < g + u - 1$ and $d_{v-u} \geq g + v - u - 1$, we obtain the same inequality just by interchanging $u$ and $v - u$ in the above argument.

If $d_u \geq g + u - 1$ and $d_{v-u} \geq g + v - u - 1$, then

$$d \geq \gamma_1 + 2t + g + u - 1 + g + v - u - 1 \geq \gamma_1 + s + t + 2g - 2.$$

Hence, using $\gamma(E) = \frac{d-2s}{3}$,

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{t+2g-2}{3} - \frac{s}{3} = \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{t+2g-2}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d}{3} - \gamma(E)\right)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\gamma(E)}{2} &\geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3} - \frac{d}{6} + \frac{t+2g-2}{3}\\ &\geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3} - \frac{g-1}{2} + \frac{t+2g-2}{3} = \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{g-1}{6} + \frac{t}{3}\end{aligned}$$

and hence, since $\gamma_1 \leq \frac{g-1}{2}$,

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{2\gamma_1+1}{3}.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If $E$ has a subbundle $F$ of rank 2 with $h^0(F) \geq 3$ and no line subbundle with $h^0 \geq 2$, then*

$$\gamma(E) \geq \min\left\{\gamma_2', \frac{2\gamma_1+1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}(2\gamma_1+2g+4-d)\right\}.$$

*Proof.* Write $h^0(F) = 2+t,\ t \geq 1$. Since $E$ is generically generated, we have $h^0(F) \leq 2+s$. So

$$1 \leq t \leq s.$$

The quotient $E/F$ is a line bundle and $h^0(E/F) = 1+u$ with $u \geq s-t$. The Lemma of Paranjape-Ramanan applied to $F$ gives

$$d_F \geq d_{2t}.$$

If $\frac{t}{2} > \frac{s}{3}$, [5, Lemma 2.1] gives

$$\gamma(E) > \gamma_2'. \tag{2.2}$$

If $\frac{t}{2} \leq \frac{s}{3}$, then $u \geq s-t \geq \frac{s}{3}$. This implies $u \geq 1$ and hence

$$d_{E/F} \geq d_u.$$

This gives

$$d \geq d_{2t} + d_u.$$

We distinguish 4 cases:

If $d_{2t} < 2t+g-1$ and $d_u < u+g-1$, then

$$d \geq 2\gamma_1 + 4t + 2u \geq 2\gamma_1 + 2s + 2t.$$

So

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{2\gamma_1+2t}{3} > \frac{2\gamma_1+1}{3}.$$

If $d_{2t} \geq 2t+g-1$ and $d_u \geq u+g-1$, then

$$d \geq 2t+g-1+u+g-1 \geq s+t+2g-2.$$

This implies, using $\gamma(E) = \frac{d-2s}{3}$,

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{t+2g-2}{3} - \frac{s}{3} = \frac{t+2g-2}{3} - \frac{d-3\gamma(E)}{6}.$$

Hence, using $d \leq 3g-3$,

$$\frac{\gamma(E)}{2} \geq \frac{t+2g-2}{3} - \frac{d}{6} \geq \frac{t}{3} + \frac{g-1}{6} > \frac{g-1}{6} \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3}.$$

This gives

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{2\gamma_1+1}{3} \tag{2.3}$$

If $d_{2t} \geq 2t + g - 1$ and $d_u < u + g - 1$, then

$$d \geq \gamma_1 + 2t + g - 1 + 2u \geq \gamma_1 + 2s + g - 1$$

and we get as above,

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{g-1}{3} \geq \gamma_1.$$

Finally, if $d_{2t} < 2t + g - 1$ and $d_u \geq u + g - 1$, then

$$d \geq \gamma_1 + 4t + u + g - 1 \geq \gamma_1 + s + 3t + g - 1.$$

This gives

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3} - \frac{s}{3} + t + \frac{g-1}{3} = \frac{\gamma_1}{3} - \frac{d - 3\gamma(E)}{6} + t + \frac{g-1}{3}.$$

So

$$\frac{\gamma(E)}{2} \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3} - \frac{d}{6} + t + \frac{g-1}{3}$$

and hence

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{2}{3}\gamma_1 + \frac{2g - d + 4}{3}. \tag{2.4}$$

Combining (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we get the result. □

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let $E$ be a semistable bundle of degree $d$ computing $\gamma'_3$. Then*

$$\gamma(E) \geq \min\left\{\frac{d_9}{3} - 2, \gamma'_2, \frac{2\gamma_1 + 1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}(2\gamma_1 + 2g - d + 4)\right\}.$$

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 amd 2.3. □

## 3. LOWER BOUND FOR $\gamma'_3$, SECOND METHOD

Let $E$ be a bundle of degree $d$ computing $\gamma'_3$. Then $d \leq 3g - 3$ and $h^0(E) = 3 + s$ for some $s \geq 3$.

Let $F$ be a proper subbundle of $E$ of maximal slope. By [6] we have

$$\mu(E/F) - \mu(F) \leq g, \tag{3.1}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *If $F$ has rank 1, then*

$$\gamma(E) \geq \min\left\{\frac{\gamma_1 + 2\gamma'_2}{3}, \frac{2\gamma'_2}{3} + \frac{d - 2g}{9}, \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{2d - 6}{9}\right\}.$$

*Proof.* By (3.1) we have $d_{E/F} - 2d_F \leq 2g$. Since $d_{E/F} + d_F = d$, this gives $d_F \geq \frac{d-2g}{3}$. The semistability of $E$ implies $d_F \leq \frac{d}{3}$. So altogether we get

$$\frac{d - 2g}{3} \leq d_F \leq \frac{d}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2d}{3} \leq d_{E/F} \leq \frac{2d + 2g}{3}. \tag{3.2}$$

If $h^0(F) \geq 2$, then $F$ contributes to $\gamma_1$ and

$$\gamma(F) \geq \gamma_1. \tag{3.3}$$

If $h^0(F) \le 1$, then by definition of $\gamma$ and (3.2) we get

$$\gamma(F) \geq d_F \geq \frac{d-2g}{3}. \tag{3.4}$$

If $E/F$ is not semistable, it has a line subbundle $L$ of degree $> \frac{d}{3}$. Pulling back $L$ to $E$, we obtain a rank-2 subbundle of $E$ with slope $> d_F$ contradicting the maximality of $\mu(F)$. So $E/F$ is semistable.

Suppose $d_{E/F} \le 2g-2$. If $h^0(E/F) \ge 4$, then $E/F$ contributes to $\gamma_2'$ which gives

$$\gamma(E/F) \geq \gamma_2'. \tag{3.5}$$

If $h^0(E/F) \le 3$, the definition of $\gamma$ and (3.2) give

$$\gamma(E/F) \geq \frac{1}{2}(d_{E/F}-2) \geq \frac{d}{3} - 1. \tag{3.6}$$

If $d_{E/F} > 2g-2$, apply the same argument to $(E/F)^* \otimes K$ to get

$$\gamma(E/F) = \gamma((E/F)^* \otimes K) \geq \gamma_2'$$

if $h^1(E/F) \ge 4$ and, for $h^1(E/F) \le 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(E/F) &\geq \frac{1}{2}(4g-4-d_{E/F}-2)\\ &\geq 2g-3-\frac{2d+2g}{6} = \frac{5g}{3} - \frac{d}{3} - 3\\ &\geq \frac{2g}{3} - 2 \geq \frac{4\gamma_1+2}{3} - 2 = \frac{4\gamma_1-4}{3}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the inequality $\gamma_1 \le \frac{g-1}{2}$. Since $\gamma_1 \ge \gamma_2'$ and $\gamma(E/F)$ is a half-integer for $\gamma_1 = 3$, this implies (3.5).

We have proved that, if $h^0(E/F) \ge 4$ or $d_{E/F} > 2g-2$, then (3.5) is valid. If $d_{E/F} \le 2g-2$ and $h^1(E/F) \le 3$, then (3.6) is valid.

Now note that

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{\gamma(F) + 2\gamma(E/F)}{3}.$$

So, combining these results with (3.3) and (3.4) and noting that, if $h^0(F) < 2$, then $h^0(E/F) \ge 4$, we get the result. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let the notations be as at the beginning of the section and suppose $F$ has rank 2. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(E) \;\geq\; \min\Bigg\{&\frac{\gamma_1+2\gamma_2'}{3}, \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{2d-2g-6}{9}, \frac{2\gamma_2'}{3} + \frac{d}{9},\\ &\frac{2\gamma_2'}{3} + \frac{4g-d-6}{9}, \frac{d+2g-12}{9}\Bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For $F$ of rank 2, inequality (3.1) says $2d_{E/F} - d_F \le 2g$. Since $d_{E/F} + d_F = d$ and by semistability of $E$, $d_F \le \frac{2d}{3}$, we get

$$\frac{2d-2g}{3} \leq d_F \leq \frac{2d}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{3} \leq d_{E/F} \leq \frac{2g+d}{3}. \tag{3.7}$$

Certainly $F$ is semistable. So if $h^0(F) \geq 4$, then $F$ contributes to $\gamma_2'$, which gives

$$\gamma(F) \geq \gamma_2'.$$

If $h^0(F) \leq 3$, then by definition of $\gamma$ and (3.7) we get

$$\gamma(F) \geq \frac{1}{2}(d_F - 2) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2d-2g}{3} - 2\right) = \frac{d}{3} - \frac{g}{3} - 1.$$

If $d_{E/F} \leq g-1$ and $h^0(E/F) \geq 2$, then $E/F$ contributes to $\gamma_1$, which gives

$$\gamma(E/F) \geq \gamma_1.$$

If $d_{E/F} \leq g-1$ and $h^0(E/F) \leq 1$, then by definition of $\gamma$ and (3.7),

$$\gamma(E/F) \geq d_{E/F} \geq \frac{d}{3}.$$

If $d_{E/F} > g-1$, we apply the same argument to $(E/F)^* \otimes K$ to give:

$$\gamma(E/F) = \gamma((E/F)^* \otimes K) \geq \gamma_1$$

if $h^1(E/F) \geq 2$ and, if $h^1(E/F) \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(E/F) = \gamma((E/F)^* \otimes K \quad &\geq \quad d_{(E/F)^* \otimes K} = -d_{E/F} + 2g - 2\\ &\geq \quad 2g - 2 - \frac{2g+d}{3} = \frac{4g}{3} - \frac{d}{3} - 2.\end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\gamma(E) \geq \frac{2\gamma(F) + \gamma(E/F)}{3}.$$

Note that if $h^0(F) \leq 3$, then $h^0(E/F) \geq 3$. But it could still happen in this case that $h^1(E/F) \leq 1$. So combining the above results, we obtain the lemma. □

Combining Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we get

**Proposition 3.3.**

$$\gamma_3' \geq \min\left\{\frac{2\gamma_1 + 1}{3}, \frac{2\gamma_2'}{3} + \frac{d-2g}{9}, \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{2d-2g-6}{9}, \frac{d+2g-12}{9}\right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let $E$ be a semistable bundle of rank 3 and degree $d$ computing $\gamma_3'$. Combining Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we see that $\gamma(E)$ is greater than or equal to the minimum of the 5 numbers

$$\frac{\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2'}{3}, \frac{2\gamma_2'}{3} + \frac{d-2g}{9}, \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{2d-2g-6}{9}, \frac{2\gamma_2'}{3} + \frac{4g-d-6}{9}, \frac{d+2g-12}{9}.$$

Now, since $d \leq 3g-3$,

$$\frac{2\gamma_2'}{3} + \frac{4g-d-6}{9} \geq \frac{2\gamma_2'}{3} + \frac{g-3}{9} \geq \frac{2\gamma_2'}{3} + \frac{d-2g}{9}.$$

Moreover, by [4, Theorem 5.2], $\gamma_2' \geq \min\{\gamma_1, \frac{d_4}{2}-2\}$. If $\gamma_2' < \gamma_1$, then $\gamma_2' \geq \frac{d_4}{2} - 2$. Now $d_4 \geq d_1 + 3 \geq \gamma_1 + 5$. So $2\gamma_2' \geq d_4 - 4 \geq \gamma_1 + 1$. So

$$\frac{\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2'}{3} \geq \frac{2\gamma_1 + 1}{3}.$$

This inequality holds also if $\gamma_2' = \gamma_1$. The result follows. □

## 4. The main theorem

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose* $\gamma_1 \geq 3$. *Then*

$$\gamma_3' \geq \min\left\{\frac{d_9}{3} - 2, \frac{2\gamma_2' + 1}{3}\right\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that $E$ is a semistable bundle of rank 3 and degree $d$ computing $\gamma_3'$. If $d \leq 2g+3$, the result follows from Proposition 2.4.

If $d \geq 2g+4$, we use Proposition 3.3 and show that the numbers occurring in the statement are $\geq \frac{2\gamma_2'+1}{3}$. For the first and second ones this is obvious and we have, using $\gamma_1 \leq \frac{g-1}{2}$,

$$\frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{2d-2g-6}{9} \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{2g+2}{9} \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{3} + \frac{4\gamma_1+4}{9} > \frac{2\gamma_1}{3} \geq \frac{2\gamma_2'}{3}.$$

Also, if $\gamma_1 \geq 4$,

$$\frac{d+2g-12}{9} \geq \frac{4g-8}{9} \geq \frac{8\gamma_1 - 4}{9} \geq \frac{2\gamma_1+1}{3} \geq \frac{2\gamma_2'+1}{3}.$$

If $\gamma_1 = 3$ and $d \geq 2g+5$,

$$\frac{d+2g-12}{9} \geq \frac{4g-7}{9} \geq \frac{8\gamma_1 - 3}{9} = \frac{2\gamma_1+1}{3} \geq \frac{2\gamma_2'+1}{3}.$$

If $\gamma_1 = 3$ and $d = 2g+4$, then $\frac{d+2g-12}{9} = \frac{4g-8}{9}$, while $\frac{2\gamma_1+1}{3} = \frac{7}{3}$. For $g = 7$, this does not give the required inequality. However in this case we get $\gamma(E) \geq \frac{4g-8}{9} = \frac{20}{9}$. Hence $\gamma(E) \geq \frac{7}{3}$, since $3\gamma(E)$ is an integer. □

**Remark 4.2.** Theorem 4.1 is trivially true for $\gamma_1 = 1$ or 2, since then $\gamma_2' = \gamma_3' = \gamma_1$. For $\gamma_1 = 0$ the result is false. For $\gamma_1 = 2$, the inequality is strict.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Suppose* $\frac{d_2}{2} \geq \frac{d_3}{3}$. *Then*

$$\gamma_3 \geq \min\left\{\frac{2\gamma_1+1}{3}, \frac{d_3-2}{3}\right\}.$$

*Proof.* By [4, Theorem 6.1] we have $\gamma_3 = \min\{\gamma_3', \frac{d_3-2}{3}\}$. According to [4, Theorem 5.2], $\gamma_2' \geq \min\{\gamma_1, \frac{d_4}{2} - 2\}$. Now by the theorem and using $\frac{d_9}{3} - 2 \geq \frac{d_3}{3} > \frac{d_3-2}{3}$ we get the result, noting that $\frac{d_4-3}{3} \geq \frac{d_3-2}{3}$. □

**Remark 4.4.** If in addition $d_3 \neq 3d_1$, then there always exist semistable bundles $E$ of rank 3 contributing to $\gamma_3$ with $\gamma(E) = \frac{d_3-2}{3}$ (see [4, Theorem 4.15 (a)]). If $d_3 = 3d_1$, there exist semistable bundles $E$ of rank 3 and degree $d_3$ which contribute to $\gamma_3'$; hence $\gamma_3' < \frac{d_3-2}{3}$.

**Proposition 4.5.** *For a general curve of genus $g \geq 7$,*

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{d_3-2}{3} = \frac{1}{3}\left(g+1-\left[\frac{g}{4}\right]\right)$$

*and this value is attained by the bundles $E_L$ given by*

$$0 \to E_L^* \to H^0(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \to L \to 0,$$

*where $L$ is a line bundle of degree $d_3$ with $h^0(L) = 4$.*

*Proof.* In view of Corollary 4.3 and Remark 4.4 it is sufficient to show that $\frac{2\gamma_1+1}{3} \geq \frac{d_3-2}{3}$. This is a straightforward computation. $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *For a smooth plane curve of degree $\delta \geq 7$ we have*

$$\gamma_3' \geq \frac{2\delta-7}{3}$$

*and*

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{3}\left(\left[\frac{3\delta+1}{2}\right]-2\right) \quad \textit{for} \quad \delta \geq 10.$$

*Proof.* For a smooth plane curve of degree $\delta$, we have $d_9 = 3\delta$ and $\gamma_2' = \gamma_1 = \delta - 4$ by [4, Proposition 8.1]. So Theorem 4.1 implies

$$\gamma_3' \geq \min\left\{\delta-2, \frac{2\delta-7}{3}\right\} = \frac{2\delta-7}{3}.$$

By [4, Proposition 8.3],

$$\gamma_3 = \min\left\{\gamma_3', \frac{1}{3}\left(\left[\frac{3\delta+1}{2}\right]-2\right)\right\}.$$

Now the result follows if we have

$$\frac{1}{3}\left(\left[\frac{3\delta+1}{2}\right]-2\right) \leq \frac{2\delta-7}{3},$$

which is valid for $\delta \geq 10$. $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** For $7 \leq \delta \leq 9$ the estimate on $\gamma_3'$ is not sufficient to give the result for $\gamma_3$. For $\delta = 5$ (and similarly for $\delta = 6$) the result for $\gamma_3$ is false, since $\gamma_3 = \gamma_3' = 1$ (see [4, Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 3.6(e)]).

We are now in a position to justify the claim made in [5, Remark 3.8].

**Corollary 4.8.** *For a smooth plane curve of degree $\delta \geq 10$, $\gamma_3 > \gamma_2$. Moreover, there exists a non-generated bundle computing $\gamma_3$.*

*Proof.* We have formulae for both $\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_3$; a straightforward computation shows that $\gamma_3 > \gamma_2$. The existence statement follows from [5, Proposition 3.5 and Remark 3.6]. □

Finally we consider curves of Clifford dimension at least 3.

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let $C$ be a curve for which neither $d_1$ nor $d_2$ computes $\gamma_1$. Then*

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{d_3 - 2}{3}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose $d_r$ computes $\gamma_1$, $r \geq 3$. By [3, Corollary 3.5], $d_r \geq 4r - 3 \geq 3r$. So

$$d_3 - 2 \leq d_r - r + 1 \leq 2d_r - 4r + 1 = 2\gamma_1 + 1.$$

Now $d_3 \leq d_3 - r + 3$ and, since $d_2$ does not compute $\gamma_1$,

$$d_2 \geq \gamma_1 + 5 = d_r - 2r + 5;$$

hence $\frac{d_2}{2} > \frac{d_3}{3}$ and by Corollary 4.3 we have

$$\gamma_3 \geq \frac{d_3 - 2}{3}.$$

This value is attained by $E_L$ where $L$ is a line bundle of degree $d_3$ with $h^0(L) = 4$. □

## References

[1] E. Ballico: *Spanned vector bundles on algebraic curves and linear series.* Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 27 (1995), no. 1-2, 137–156 (1996).

[2] L. Brambila-Paz, I. Grzegorczyk, P. E. Newstead: *Geography of Brill-Noether loci for small slopes.* J. Alg. Geom. 6 (1997), 645-669.

[3] D. Eisenbud, H. Lange, G. Martens, F.-O. Schreyer: *The Clifford dimension of a projective curve.* Comp. Math. 72 (1989), 173-204.

[4] H. Lange and P. E. Newstead: *Clifford indices for vector bundles on curves.* To appear in: A. Schmitt (Ed.) Affine Flag Manifolds and Principal Bundles. Birkhäuser.

[5] H. Lange and P. E. Newstead: *Generation of vector bundles computing Clifford indices.* arXiv:0912.0815.

[6] S. Mukai, F. Sakai: *Maximal subbundles of vector bundles on a curve.* Manuscr. Mathem. 52 (1985), 251-256.

[7] K. Paranjape, S. Ramanan: *On the canonical ring of a curve.* Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Masayoshi Nagata (1987), 503-516.

H. Lange, Department Mathematik, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Bismarckstrasse $1\frac{1}{2}$, D-91054 Erlangen, Germany
*E-mail address*: `lange@mi.uni-erlangen.de`

P.E. Newstead, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Peach Street, Liverpool L69 7ZL, UK
*E-mail address*: `newstead@liv.ac.uk`